The unicornuate uterus results from the normal development of one Müllerian duct and absent or incomplete development of the contralateral duct. The prevalence of this kind of uterine malformations accounts for 2.4 to 13% of all Müllerian anomalies [1]. In most of cases, the rudimentary horn is non-communicating and often associated with ectopic pregnancies. It occurs in approximately 1 out of 76,000 pregnancies to 1 per 150,000. The risk of uterine rupture is approximately 80% occurring by the end of the second trimester [2]. The unicornuate uterus with a non-communicating rudimentary horn may be associated with gynecological and obstetric complications such as infertility, endometriosis, hematometra, urinary tract anomalies, abortions, and preterm deliveries [1]. Pregnant women with unicornuate uterus are group of a high-risk of the complications’ development [3, 4].

CASE REPORT AND DISCUSSION

We report a case of a successful pregnancy in non-communicating rudimentary horn of unicornuate uterus and postpartum clinical manifestation of it.

A 32-year-old woman came to our center with a history of severe cyclic secondary dysmenorrhea since 10 months which had now become intolerable. The dysmenorrhea severity by visual analogue scale for pain score was eight. She had been taking non-steroidal anti-inflammatory medications on and off, which were not relieving the pain. Moreover, the patient tried to become pregnant for two years.

She was married for 11 years. Her menstrual cycles were regular. No history of pelvic inflammatory disorder. She had no bowel or bladder complaints. The first time uterine anomaly was diagnosed by two-dimensional (2D) ultrasound seven years ago. It was classified as a uterus bicornis. The patient could not become pregnancy for five years. She had undergone hysterosalpingography for evaluation of case of infertility in 2013, where uterus bicornis with decreasing size of right horn was diagnosed (uterus anomaly was not classified correctly) and the coagulation of endometriosis of right ligamentum sacro-uterine was performed. The patient’s left tube during the chromosalpingography was possible unlike the right one. However, no information about rudimentary horn and its communication with the main horn was reported in her surgical record. The pregnancy became two month later. At the first screening ultrasound, the pregnancy was diagnosed in the right horn. No pregnancy complications were reported to 33 weeks. The patient informed that she regularly attended the routine antenatal care provided in her hometown’s health unit but she was not said about the risk of a rudimentary horn rupture due to misdiagnosis of uterus anomaly. The patient was transferred to a tertiary referral hospital with the pregnancy-induced hypertension and intrauterine growth restriction – the fetal size and weight were lower considering the gestational age which was 33-34 weeks and uterus anomaly (uterus duplex uni colli). Obstetric ultrasound examinations at the third trimester of her pregnancy showed a normal insertion of the placenta, low amniotic fluid index and breech fetal presentation. On speculum examination normal vagina with a single cervix were seen. A serial
growth ultrasound examination performing until 36 weeks and 4 days' gestation confirmed a low baby weight.

At 37 weeks’ pregnancy, the patient underwent an urgent cesarean section because of fetal distress and she had a healthy baby girl, with an Apgar score of 6 to 7, weight of 1980g and height of 47cm. During the surgery, unexpectedly no communication with another horn was found. Both her ovaries and tubes were normal. The volume of surgery was limited to caesarean section with drainage of the abdominal cavity.

The mother had hyperthermia during the week after surgery, there were lochia absent from the vagina. Serial ultrasound examinations in the postsurgery period showed decrasing sizes of hematometra in the right rudimentary horn. At day 8 after cesarean section, the patient was discharged home.

After 4 years, the patient came to the Municipal Non-profit Enterprises of Lviv Regional Council «Lviv Regional Center of reproductive health» with complaints of dysmenorrhea and secondary infertility that were described before.

An ultrasound examination, including an baseline 2D) ultrasound assessment of pelvis organs with the following three-dimensional (3D) transvaginal scan of uterus, was performed. The investigation showed a left unicorneate uterus of the dimensions of 44mm × 32mm × 38mm with an normal endometrial thickness. At the right side, a non-communicating rudimentary horn with hematometra of the sizes of 31mm x 15mm and postcesarean scar was described (Class U4a according to the ESHRE/ESGE classification 2013). In addition, hydrosalpinx and endometrioid cyst were diagnosed at the right side. The ultrasonography of kidneys did not detect any deviation. Hysterosalpingography showed the leftside unicorneate uterus with normal passable left tube.

Magnetic resonance imaging without intravenous contrast was performed. The images through the level of the pelvis demonstrated a left sided unicorneate uterus with a single vagina and a single cervix. The right non-communicating horn contained hematometra. Furthermore, small cysts were found in the right ovary (Fig. 1).

Because the presence of a hematometra in the rudimentary horn and severe secondary dysmenorrhea the surgical treatment was indicated (Fig. 2). Removal of right rudimentary horn, rightsided salpingectomy and resection of right ovary were performed through laparotomy.
Fig. 2. Intraoperative photograph showing the right non-communicating horn with hematosalpinx of right fallopian tube and right ovary with endometrioid cyst

After the cut of the removed rudimentary horn dark blood poured out of it (Fig. 3).

Histological examination showed adenomyosis of the uterine horn, endometrioid cyst of the ovary, hematosalpinx and endometriosis of the removed right fallopian tube. The patient had a good postop-
ervative recovery and she became completely pain-free postoperatively.

Depending on the subclass, unicornuate uterus may have a different impact on patients’ symptoms and reproductive outcomes. However, the real prevalence of the unicornuate uterus is unknown because of many women never complain any symptoms due to the non-functional horn or absent of it. The ESHRE/ESGE classification system helps to divide uterine anomalies into classes and subclasses according to increasing severity of the anatomical deviation and clinical manifestations [5]. Class U4 includes hemi-uterus with the contralateral part incompletely formed or absent. Class U4 is divided into two sub-classes: class U4a or hemi-uterus with a rudimentary (functional) cavity; class U4b or hemi-uterus without a rudimentary (functional) cavity is characterized either by the presence of a non-functional contralateral uterine horn or by aplasia of the contralateral part [5]. 3D ultrasound has been shown to be accurate in the diagnosis of uterine malformations. Our case was class U4aCOV0, as the patient had a functional cavity, allowing pregnancy, normal cervix and vagina. Unicornuate uterus is often combined with renal anomalies although we did not diagnose any renal pathology in case which was described [6]. So renal ultrasound must be recommended to patients with uterus anomalies.

In our case, the pregnancy became in non-communicating rudimentary horn. According to the literature, it was possible by transperineal migration of sperm or fertilized ovum [1, 7]. Pregnancy in non-communicating rudimentary horn may be associated with serious pregnancy-related complications but this case was an exception [8]. Only some cases of successful pregnancy in the non-communicating rudimentary horn are described. That is why when pregnancy in the rudimentary horn is diagnosed, to prevent a life-threatening uterine rupture, immediate surgery is recommended [1, 2, 7].

Our case demonstrated the increasing of dysmenorrhea’s severity and sizes of hematometra only after partum. Surgical resection of a functional rudimentary horn is recommended even if the horn is communicating, whether the woman is pregnant or not [2, 7]. The basic purpose of surgery is pain relief and hence better quality of life what was demonstrated in this case.

**CONCLUSIONS**

The diagnosis and the management of the rudimentary uterine horn are often a challenge. Patients affected by dysmenorrhea and chronic pelvic pain should always be screened with 2D and 3D ultrasound examinations by high-experienced specialist. Complete removal of a communicating or non-communicating functional rudimentary horn with or without pregnancy in it is always recommended to prevent menstrual and pregnancy complications.
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